

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trade, lark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 08/988,246
 12/01/97
 RAOUX
 S
 AM1771-4-T19

IM62/0809

WILLIAM L SHAFFER
PATENT COUNSEL MS/2061
LEGAL AFFAIRS DEPT. P O BOX 450A
APPLIED MATERIALS INC
SANTA CLARA CA 95052

EXAMINER
ZERVIGON, R

ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

1763

08/09/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





Interview Summary

Application No. -08/988,246

Rudy Zervigon

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

1763

Sebastien et al

All porticipants (or all and the second seco	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) <u>Rudy Zervigon</u> (3)	
Date of Interview Aug 2, 2000	
Type: XTelephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's rep	presentative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes 126. If yes, brief description:	
Agreementwas reached.	
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or Mr. Chun-Pok Leung's sole argument is concerning a multiplicity of claim 11's second impediate final action, there is clearly stated evidence that Patrick et al provide impedance measure Patrick et al sensor may measure the chamber impedance as desired. A second impedance obvious under the following case law: Harza, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960)	pedance monitor. As discussed in the es between two electrodes: The nce monitor would be considered
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the e the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which wou is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	examiner agreed would render uld render the claims allowable
1. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the	he interview.
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WR OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVINTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	
Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflect each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interior is also checked.	t Office action, and since the
	6
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.	YMILLS RUDY ZERVIGON PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 1763

RUDY ZERVIGON PATENT EXAMINER **ART UNIT 1763**